

Method and Apparatus for Modular Multiplication

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

Cross-Reference to Related Application:

This application is a continuation of copending
10 International Application No. PCT/EP02/09404, filed August
22, 2002, which designated the United States and was not
published in English.

15 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method and an apparatus
for modular multiplication of a multiplicand by a
multiplier using a modulus, and, in particular, to modular
20 multiplication using a multiplication-lookahead method and
a reduction-lookahead method.

2. Description of Prior Art

25

Cryptography is one of the major applications for modular
arithmetic. An essential algorithm for cryptography is the
known RSA algorithm. The RSA algorithm is based on a
modular exponentiation which may be represented as follows:

30

$$C = M^d \bmod (N).$$

Here, C is an encrypted message, M is a non-encrypted
message, d is the secret key, and N is the modulus. The
35 modulus N is usually created by multiplying two prime
numbers p and q. The modular exponentiation is split into
multiplications by means of the known square-and-multiply
algorithm. To this end, the exponent d is split into powers

of two, so that the modular exponentiation may be split into several modular multiplications. In order to be able to implement the modular exponentiation efficiently in terms of computation, the modular exponentiation is
5 therefore split into modular multiplications, which may then be split into modular additions.

DE 3631992 discloses a cryptography method wherein modular multiplication may be accelerated using a multiplication-
10 lookahead method and using a reduction-lookahead method. The method described in DE 3631992 C2 is also referred to as a ZDN method and will be described in more detail with regard to figure 8. After a starting step 900 of the algorithm, the global variables M, C and N are initialized.
15 The aim is to calculate the following modular multiplication:

$$Z = M * C \bmod N.$$

20 M is referred to as the multiplier, where C is referred to as the multiplicand. Z is the result of the modular multiplication, whereas N is the modulus.

Hereupon, different local variables are initialized, which
25 need not be explained in further detail. Subsequently, two lookahead methods are applied. In the multiplication-lookahead method GEN_MULT_LA, a multiplication shift value s_z as well as a multiplication-lookahead parameter a are calculated using different lookahead rules (910). Hereupon,
30 the current content of the Z register is subjected to a left-shift operation by s_z digits (920).

Essentially in parallel therewith, a reduction-lookahead method GEN_Mod_LA (930) is performed to calculate a
35 reduction shift value s_N and a reduction parameter b . In step 940, the current content of the modulus register, i.e. N, is shifted to the left and right, respectively, by s_N digits so as to create a shifted modulus value N' . The

- central three-operands operation of the ZDN method takes place in step 950. Here, the intermediate result Z' is added, after step 920, to multiplicand C , which is multiplied by the multiplication-lookahead parameter a , and
- 5 to the shifted modulus N' , which is multiplied by the reduction-lookahead parameter b . Depending on the current situation, the lookahead parameters a and b may have a value of $+1$, 0 or -1 .
- 10 A typical case is for the multiplication-lookahead parameter a to be $+1$, and for the reduction-lookahead parameter b to be -1 , so that the multiplicand C is added to a shifted intermediate result Z' , and so that the shifted modulus N' is subtracted therefrom. a will have a
- 15 value equal to 0 if the multiplication-lookahead method allows more than a preset number of individual left shifts, i.e. if s_2 is larger than the maximum admissible value of s_2 , which is also referred to as k . In the event that a equals 0 and that Z' is still fairly small due to the
- 20 preceding modular reduction, i.e. to the preceding subtraction of the shifted modulus, and that Z' is, in particular, smaller than the shifted modulus N' , no reduction need take place, so that parameter b equals 0 .
- 25 Steps 910 to 950 are performed for such time until all digits of the multiplicand have been processed i.e. until m equals 0 , and until a parameter n also equals 0 , which parameter indicates whether the shifted modulus N' is even larger than the original modulus N , or whether further
- 30 reduction steps must be performed by subtracting the modulus from Z despite the fact that all digits of the multiplicand have already been processed.
- Eventually it will also be determined whether Z is smaller
- 35 than 0 . If this is so, modulus N must be added to Z so as to achieve a final reduction, so that eventually the correct result Z of the modular multiplication is obtained.

In a step 960, the modular multiplication by means of the ZDN method is terminated.

5 The multiplication shift value s_z as well as the multiplication parameter a , which are calculated by means of the multiplication-lookahead algorithm in step 910, result from the topology of the multiplier as well as from the lookahead rules used which are described in DE 3631992 C2.

10 The reduction shift value s_N and the reduction parameter b are determined, as is also described in DE 3631992 C2, by comparing the current content of the Z register with a value $2/3 \times N$. The name of the ZDN method is based on this
15 comparison (ZDN = Zwei Drittel N = two thirds of N).

The ZDN method, as is depicted in figure 8, traces the modular multiplication back to a three-operands addition (block 950 in figure 8), wherein the multiplication-
20 lookahead method and, hand in hand therewith, the reduction-lookahead method, are used for increasing the calculating-time efficiency.

The reduction-lookahead method, which is performed in block
25 930 of figure 9, will be explained below in more detail with reference to figure 8. Initially, in a block 1000, a reservation for the local variables, i.e. for the reduction-lookahead parameter b and the reduction shift value s_N , is performed. In a block 1010, the reduction
30 shift value s_N is initialized to zero. Hereupon, the value ZDN, which equals $2/3$ of modulus N , is calculated in a block 1020. This value which is determined in block 1020 is stored on the crypto-coprocessor on a register of its own, i.e. the ZDN register.

35 It is then determined, in a block 1030, whether the variable n equals 0, or whether the shift value s_N equals $-k$. k is a value defining the maximum shift value specified

by the hardware. In the first run, block 1030 is answered by NO, so that in a block 1040, parameter n is decremented, and so that in a block 1060, the reduction shift value is also decremented by 1. Then, in a block 1080, the variable
5 ZDN is redefined, i.e. is defined as half its value, which may readily be achieved by a right-shift of the value found in the ZDN register. It is then established, in a block 1100, whether the absolute value of the current
10 intermediate result is higher than the value found in the ZDN register.

This comparative operation performed in block 1100 is the central operation of the reduction-lookahead method. If the question is answered with YES, the iteration is terminated,
15 and the reduction-lookahead parameter is defined, as is represented in block 1120. If, however, the question to be answered in block 1100 is answered with NO, an iterative backward jump is performed to examine the current values of n and s_N in block 1030. If block 1030 is answered with YES
20 at some point in the iteration, the process jumps to a block 1140, wherein the reduction parameter b is set to zero. In the three-operands operation represented in block 950 in figure 8, the result is that no modulus is added or subtracted, which means that the intermediate result of Z
25 was so small that no modular reduction was necessary. In a block 1160, the variable n is then redefined, the reduction shift value s_N being eventually calculated in a block 1180, which reduction shift value s_N is needed, in a block 940 of figure 8, to perform the left shift of the modulus so as to
30 achieve a shifted modulus.

In blocks 1200, 1220 and 1240, the current values of n and k are finally examined for further variables MAX and cur_k so as to examine the current definition of the N register
35 to ensure that no register overshoot takes place. The further details are not relevant to the present invention but are described more fully in DE 3631992 C2.

The ZDN algorithm essentially consists of the following steps:

1. Calculating the multiplication shift value s_z and the
5 multiplication-lookahead parameter a .
2. Shifting the content of the Z register by s_z digits,
i.e. multiplying the intermediate result of the previous
iteration step by a factor of 2^{s_z} .
- 10 3. Calculating the reduction shift value s_N and,
optionally, the reduction-lookahead parameter b .
4. Shifting the content of the N register by s_N digits,
15 i.e. multiplying the current modulus by a factor of 2^{s_N} .
5. Performing the three-operands addition to obtain an
updated intermediate result Z in accordance with the
following defining equation $2^{s_z} Z + a C + b 2^{s_N} N$.
- 20 Depending on the multiplication-lookahead algorithm, it is
necessary to calculate multiplication-lookahead parameters
(a) and reduction-lookahead parameters (b). As is known,
these parameters may take on values from -1.0 to +1.
- 25 Depending on the implementation, the reduction shift value
 s_N may be calculated using an auxiliary shift value s_1 , as
will be explained with reference to Figs. 3a to 3c. For
calculating the reduction shift parameter s_N , in this case,
30 the auxiliary shift value s_1 , i.e. the difference between
the most significant bits of the current Z-register entry
and the current modulus-register entry, is initially
calculated, whereupon the reduction shift values s_N will be
calculated from the difference between the multiplication
35 shift value s_z and the auxiliary shift value s_1 .

As is known from DE 3631992 C2, the time required to
calculate a modular multiplication $M C \bmod N$ is

proportional to a third of the length of the multiplier M in terms of bits. This means that the number of cycles needed for calculating the modular multiplication equals $L(M)/3$.

5

Even though a substantial acceleration of the modular multiplication may be achieved using the multiplication-lookahead method and the reduction-lookahead method conducted in parallel, there is still a desire to
10 accelerate modular multiplication even more, which becomes more important especially if the length of the multiplier is ever-increasing, in terms of bits, which may lead to improved security of the algorithm, especially in the RSA algorithm.

15

In addition, rapid calculation of modular multiplication is important not only, for example, with chip cards, where the level of acceptance of an encryption concept is also dependent on the amount of time a user must wait, but it is
20 also important in so-called trusted centers, where, e.g., 1000 RSA encryptions are to be performed per second. Such trusted centers can be found wherever a security server has to serve a plurality of client queries.

25

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is the object of the present invention to provide a faster method and a faster apparatus for modular
30 multiplication.

In accordance with a first aspect, the invention provides a method for performing a modular multiplication on data processing means between a multiplicand and a multiplier
35 consisting of a plurality of digits, using a modulus, the modular multiplication being part of a modular exponentiation within the framework of a cryptographic application, and the multiplicand, the multiplier and the

modulus being variables of the cryptographic application, the method having of the following steps: determining 1 multiplication shift values by means of a multiplication-lookahead method while taking into account 1 blocks of consecutive digits of the multiplier, 1 being larger or smaller than 2; determining 1 reduction shift values by means of a reduction-lookahead method for the 1 blocks of digits of the multiplier; applying the 1 multiplication shift values and the 1 reduction shift values to an intermediate result from a previous iteration step, to the modulus or to a value derived from the modulus, and to the multiplicand so as to obtain $2l+1$ operands; and combining the operands to obtain an updated intermediate result for an iteration step following the previous iteration step, an iteration being continued for such time until all digits of the multiplier have been processed, wherein the updated intermediate result, once all digits of the multiplier have been processed, is a result of the modular exponentiation within the framework of the cryptographic application.

20 In accordance with a second aspect, the invention provides an apparatus for performing a modular multiplication on data processing means between a multiplicand and a multiplier consisting of a plurality of digits, using a modulus, the modular multiplication being part of a modular exponentiation within the framework of a cryptographic application, and the multiplicand, the multiplier and the modulus being variables of the cryptographic application, the apparatus having: means for determining 1 multiplication shift values by means of a multiplication-lookahead method while taking into account 1 blocks of consecutive digits of the multiplier, 1 being larger or smaller than 2; means for determining 1 reduction shift values by means of a reduction-lookahead method for the 1 blocks of digits of the multiplier; means for applying the 1 multiplication shift values and the 1 reduction shift values to an intermediate result from a previous iteration step, to the modulus or to a value derived from the

modulus, and to the multiplicand so as to obtain $2l+1$ operands; and means for combining the operands to obtain an updated intermediate result for an iteration step following the previous iteration step, an iteration being continued
5 for such time until all digits of the multiplier have been processed, wherein the updated intermediate result, once all digits of the multiplier have been processed, is a result of the modular exponentiation within the framework of the cryptographic application.

10

The present invention is based on the findings that the number of cycles needed for calculating modular multiplication may be reduced if a multi-operands adder suited for five, seven or even more operands is used
15 instead of a three-operands adder as has been used in the prior art. Contrary to the conventional ZDN method, wherein one iteration step is performed after another, in the present invention, two, three or more iteration steps are performed at the same time. Instead of a three-operands
20 adder, as in the prior art, a five-, seven- or an even more significant operands adder is required for this purpose, which adder is fed, as operands, not only the latest intermediate result Z , the multiplicand C and the modulus, but, depending on the implementation - i.e. whether it is a
25 five-operands adder, a seven-operands adder or an even more significant adder - but is also fed a shifted intermediate result, a multiplicand and a shifted multiplicand as well as a modulus shifted by two different shift values, etc. The manner in which something is applied to the
30 intermediate result from the previous iteration step, to the modulus or a value derived from the modulus, and to multiplicand C using the multiplication shift values and the reduction shift values, respectively, depends on the ZDN defining equation. The application values result when
35 the equation for the updated intermediate result from the previous step is introduced in the ZDN equation so as to calculate, using the inventive adder for five, seven or even more operands, the updated intermediate result Z for

the next ZDN step, the ZDN step after that, the ZDN step even after that or for an even higher conventional ZDN step.

- 5 For this purpose, two or more multiplication shift values s_z^1 to s_z^1 are determined using a multiplication-lookahead method while taking into account 1 blocks of consecutive digits of the multiplier. In addition, 1 reduction shift values s_N^1 to s_N^1 are calculated using a reduction-lookahead
10 method, to be precise for the same 1 blocks of digits of the multiplier.

- The 1 multiplication shift values and the 1 reduction shift values are applied to the intermediate result Z from a
15 previous iteration step, to the modulus or to a value derived from the modulus, and to the multiplicand so as to obtain the $2l+1$ operands, which are then combined by means of the $(2l+1)$ -operands adder so as to obtain an updated intermediate result for an iteration step following the
20 previous iteration step, the iteration being continued for such time until all digits of the multiplier have been processed.

- If use is made of a multiplication-lookahead method,
25 wherein the multiplication shift values for the 1 blocks of consecutive digits of the multiplier are independent of each other, it is readily possible to calculate several multiplication shift values in advance.

- 30 Typically, the 1 reduction shift values s_N^1 to s_N^1 depend on previous reduction shift values and previous multiplication shift values. Thus, the first reduction shift value s_N^1 depends on the first multiplication shift value s_z^1 across the auxiliary shift value s_i^1 . In addition to being
35 dependent on the second multiplication shift value s_z^2 , however, the second reduction shift value s_N^2 also depends on the sum of the intermediate result Z shifted by s_z^1 , of the multiplicand C multiplied by the multiplication-

lookahead parameter from the first step a^1 , and of the modulus N shifted by s_N^1 , multiplied by the reduction-lookahead parameter b^1 from the previous step. For calculating the reduction shift parameter s_N^2 and the reduction-lookahead parameter b^2 , the above-mentioned sum on which these values depend could be determined. For calculating the reduction-lookahead parameter s_N^2 , however, it is not the total sum that is required, but only the most significant bit of this sum, so as to obtain the correct reduction shift parameter so that a correct reduction takes place in parallel with the multiplication. It is therefore preferred to calculate the sum in terms of an approximation only, which may be accomplished by dispensing with integrating the multiplicands into the sum and by performing a modulus transformation so as to be able to rapidly calculate a few of the most significant bits of the above-mentioned sum.

20

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Preferred embodiments of the present invention will be explained below in more detail with reference to the accompanying figures, wherein:

25

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of an inventive apparatus for modular multiplication by a $(2l+1)$ -operands adder;

30

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of an embodiment with a five-operands adder;

35

Figs. 3a to 3c are schematic representations of the connection between the multiplication shift value s_z , the auxiliary shift value s_i , and the reduction shift value s_N ;

- Fig. 4 depicts a flow chart for modular multiplication with a modulus transformation;
- Fig. 5 depicts a sub-division of a modulus N into a first section N_T of bits and into a second section N_R of bits;
- Fig. 6 shows the sub-division of the transformed modulus N^T into a first section of digits of the length L , and into the remaining digits;
- Fig. 7 is a representation of the digits of the $2/3$ -fold of the transformed modulus N^T ;
- Fig. 8 is a flow-chart representation of the prior art ZDN method; and
- Fig. 9 is a flow-chart representation of the prior art reduction-lookahead method.

DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of an inventive apparatus for modular multiplication of a multiplicand (C) by a multiplier (M), which consists of a plurality of digits, using a modulus (N). The apparatus initially includes means for determining 1 multiplication shift values. As has been discussed in DE 3631992 C2, for this purpose, the multiplier M, which is fed to means 10 via a multiplier input 11, is scanned. At an output 12, means 10 provide multiplication shift values s_z^1, \dots, s_z^1 as well as - if the multiplication-lookahead algorithm disclosed in DE 3631992 C2 is used - multiplication-lookahead parameters a^1, \dots, a^1 . A multiplication shift value s_z^1 is associated with a block of digits of multiplier M, the block of digits being determined by the lookahead algorithm used. Thus, for successive 1 blocks of digits of multiplier M, the

variables s_z^i and a^i listed at output 12 of means 10 are yielded.

In addition, the inventive apparatus includes means 13 for
5 determining 1 reduction shift values. Means 13 are fed, via
a modulus input 14, modulus N or a transformed modulus N^T ,
the transformed modulus N^T being an example of a value
derived from modulus N . However, it shall be pointed out
that the modulus value N or N^T fed via input 14 is not
10 necessarily the original modulus N or the original
transformed modulus N^T of the modular multiplication. This
will actually only apply in the first iteration step, i.e.
when the first block of digits of multiplier M is
"processed". As early as in the second iteration step, the
15 modulus value fed via modulus input 14 is the original
modulus shifted by s_N^1 or the original transformed modulus
 N^T shifted by s_N^1 .

At their output 15, means 13 provide reduction shift
20 parameters s_N^1 to s_N^1 as well as reduction shift parameters
 b^1 to b^1 .

Outputs 12 and 15 of means 10 and 13 are fed to means 16
for applying. Means 16 apply something to multiplicand C ,
25 to modulus N and/or to the transformed modulus N^T and/or to
the corresponding values following an iteration step, as
has been explained with regard to input 14, and apply an
intermediate result Z of a previous iteration step such
that $2l+1$ operands 17 are formed which are then combined by
30 means of a multi-operands adder 18 for the $2l+1$ operands so
as to obtain an updated intermediate result Z' . In a next
iteration step, the updated intermediate result Z' again
represents the input variable Z input into means 16 for
applying.

35 The iteration is continued for such time until all digits
of multiplier M have been processed. The updated
intermediate result Z' which will then be obtained at the

output 19 of means 18 will then represent the result of the modular multiplication. It shall be pointed out, that, if need be, a reduction may still need to take place using the original modulus N so as to lead the updated intermediate
5 result Z' of the latest iteration step back to the residual class of the original modulus N. If a modulus transformation has been performed, a modulus back-transformation must also take place for calculating the final result of the modular multiplication.

10 Below, reference shall be made to Fig. 2 in order to represent the structure of means 16 for applying, of Fig. 1, for the case that $l = 2$, i.e. for the case of a five-
15 operands adder. Before Fig. 2 is looked at in further detail, it shall be pointed out that multi-operands adders are described in chapter 8 of specialist publication "Computer Arithmetic, Algorithms and Hardware Designs", Bahrooz Parhami, Oxford, ISBN 0-19-512583-5.

20 The defining equation of the prior art ZDN method using a three-operands adder is as follows:

$$Z' = 2^{s_z} Z + a C + b 2^{s_N} N.$$

25 Z' is the updated intermediate result. Z is the intermediate result of the previous iteration step. s_z is the multiplication shift value which depends on those digits of the multiplier that have just been looked at. a
30 is the multiplication-lookahead parameter corresponding to the multiplication shift value s_z . b is the reduction-lookahead parameter corresponding to the reduction shift value s_N , whereas N represents the content of the modulus register from the preceding iteration step.

35 In accordance with the invention, the three-operands sum is used as a basis, and a sum of more than three operands is formed to combine two or more (1) steps of the prior art

ZDN method into a single iteration step of the inventive method.

This will be represented below using $l = 2$. The equation
 5 for the updated intermediate result Z' following an iteration step in accordance with the inventive method is as follows:

$$Z' = 2^{s_z^2} (2^{s_z^1} Z + a^1 C + b^1 2^{s_N^1} N) + a^2 C + b^2 2^{s_N^2} N.$$

10

If this equation is summarized accordingly, the following defining equation results for the updated intermediate result Z' :

$$15 \quad Z' = 2^{(s_z^2 + s_z^1)} Z + 2^{s_z^2} a^1 (C + 2^{s_z^2 + s_N^1} b^1 N + a^2 C + b^2 2^{s_N^2} N).$$

As is known, the exponents of the base of 2 in the above equation may be obtained by shifting the appropriate
 20 register content to the left or to the right by the number of digits given by the exponents. A possible circuit implementation of the above equation is shown in Fig. 2. A first operand 17a is obtained by shifting the register content Z 20 by $s_z^1 + s_z^2$ digits. A second operand 17b is
 25 obtained by shifting the content of the multiplicand register 21 by s_z^2 digits and applying the sign of the multiplication-lookahead parameter a^1 to it. By analogy therewith, a third operand 17c is obtained by applying the sign of the multiplication-lookahead parameter a^2 to the
 30 content of the multiplicand register 21. A fourth operand 17d is obtained by initially shifting the content of the modulus register 22 by $s_N^1 + s_z^2$ digits and, in addition, by applying the sign of the reduction-lookahead parameter b^1 to it. The last operand 17e for the case that $l = 2$ is
 35 obtained by shifting the content of the modulus register 22 by s_N^2 digits, and, in addition, by applying the sign of b^2 to it. The five operands 17a to 17e are then added up in the five-operands adder 18 to obtain the updated

intermediate result Z' for an iteration step of the inventive method.

The updated intermediate result is fed into the Z register 5 20 via a Z data path 23, so that the Z register 20 is ready for the next iteration step. While multiplicand C is the same in all iteration steps, modulus N' , which is shifted by s_N^2 digits, is led back into modulus register 22 via a modulus data path 24, so that modulus register 22 is also 10 prepared for the next iteration step.

It shall be pointed out that the shift values s_z^i , s_N^i as well as the parameters a^i and b^i must be calculated in advance, as is indicated by means 10 and 13 of Fig. 1. The 15 same applies if $l = 3$ is chosen instead of $l = 2$. In this case, the defining equation for the updated intermediate result Z' would be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z' = 2^{s_z^3} [2^{s_z^2} (2^{s_z^1} Z + a^1 C + b^1 2^{s_N^1} N) \\ 20 \quad + a^2 C + b^2 2^{s_N^2} N] + a^3 C + b^3 2^{s_N^3} N. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying and combining the above equation then results in the shift and/or sign values for a seven-operands adder to combine, as it were, three individual steps of the prior 25 art ZDN method into one single iteration step of the inventive ZDN method.

Those skilled in the art are readily able, from the examples given with regard to $l = 2$ and $l = 3$ for 30 calculating the shift values and the sign values, to determine the structure of means 16 for applying, of Fig. 1, for $l = 4$ and figures higher than that, too.

It shall be pointed out that as l increases, the 35 expenditure in terms of hardware increases, but, at the same time, the number of cycles to be calculated in accordance with $L(M)/(l+3)$ decreases. It has been found that an optimum compromise between the hardware expenditure

on the one hand, and the savings in terms of time, on the other hand, is achieved with a value of $l = 3$, i.e. with a circuit having a seven-operands adder.

- 5 Below, reference shall be made to calculating the l multiplication shift values (means 10 of Fig. 1) and/or to calculating l reduction shift values (means 13 of Fig. 1). While the calculation of the multiplication shift values s_2^1, \dots, s_N^1 , and of the multiplication-lookahead parameters a^1, \dots, a^1 corresponding to the former, is determined by the multiplication-lookahead algorithm used, there are various possibilities of making the calculation of the l reduction shift values s_N^1, \dots, s_N^1 as well as the associated reduction-lookahead parameters b^1, \dots, b^1 more efficient.
- 10 While these parameters may be readily calculated by fully computing the round brackets of the defining equation for the updated intermediate result Z' in the case of $l = 2$, this calculation is still redundant since obviously not all bits of the sum in the round brackets are required for
- 15 calculating the reduction shift value s_N^2 , but since only some most significant bits of this sum are required.

- To simplify the calculation of the brackets and/or the most significant bits of the brackets, multiplicand C in the
- 25 brackets may initially be neglected for calculating s_N^2 . It is therefore not multiplicand C , which is static during the entire calculation and is not shifted upwards or downwards, that is decisive for the most significant bit of the brackets. Thus, for calculating s_N^2 , the three-operands sum
- 30 in the brackets already becomes a two-operands sum.

- In addition, it is recommendable to introduce an auxiliary reduction shift value s_i for calculating the reduction shift values s_N^1, \dots, s_N^1 . Using the following Figs. 3a to
- 35 3c, reference will be made to calculating the auxiliary shift value s_i to represent the calculation of the reduction shift value s_N using the auxiliary reduction shift value s_i . An intermediate result Z and a modulus N

are represented in Fig. 3a. By way of example only, the intermediate result has four bits, whereas the modulus has 9 bits. It shall be assumed that in block 920 of Fig. 8, a shifted intermediate result Z is calculated, which may be
5 achieved by multiplying by 2^{s_z} .

For example, it shall be assumed that the multiplier comprised 8 zeros, which results in the multiplication shift value s_z to have been 8. To achieve a modular
10 reduction, modulus N must attain the order of magnitude of the shifted intermediate result Z' . In accordance with the invention, modulus N is to be shifted sufficiently for the top bit of the shifted intermediate result Z' and the top bit of the shifted modulus N to be equal. As may be seen
15 from Fig. 3b, a reduction shift value of $s_N = 3$ is required for this purpose.

It can also be seen from Fig. 3b that s_N may not actually be determined until s_z has been calculated, i.e. that it is
20 not possible to perform blocks 910 and 930 of Fig. 8 in parallel, as is preferred for the present invention. For this reason, the auxiliary shift parameter s_i is introduced. What it is advantageous about s_i is that this value may be calculated without knowing the s_z of the
25 current step.

It may be seen from Fig. 3c that s_z always equals the sum of s_i and s_N . Thus, s_N is always associated with s_z and s_i such that following equation applies:

30

$$s_N = s_z - s_i.$$

The time-consuming iterative method for determining s_N may thus be broken down into a time-consuming iterative method
35 for determining s_i (blocks 930, 940) and into a fast difference operation ($s_N = s_z - s_i$). Thus, it is possible to perform the two lookahead methods nearly in parallel, the only serial component being that, prior to calculating s_N ,

the actual value of s_2 has already been calculated and provided by the multiplication-lookahead algorithm.

As has already been explained, the calculation of the
 5 brackets and/or the calculation of s_N^2 may be simplified further by introducing a modulus transformation. As will be explained below, by means of the modulus transformation, the time-consuming ZDN comparison for calculating the auxiliary shift value s_i is greatly simplified, the
 10 defining equation for s_i being as follows:

$$2/3 \cdot 2^{(-s_i)} \cdot N < |z| \leq 4/3 \cdot 2^{(-s_i)} \cdot N$$

Fig. 4 shows a flow chart of the inventive method for
 15 modular multiplication of a multiplicand C by a multiplier M using a modulus N . In a step 40, modulus N is initially transformed into a transformed modulus N^T in accordance with the following equation:

20 $N^T = T \times N.$

In a step 42, the modular multiplication is then processed using the transformed modulus N^T and the predetermined fraction of the transformed modulus, which is $2/3$ in the
 25 preferred embodiment. In relation to the modular exponentiation this means that an RSA equation is calculated which takes on the following form:

30 $C^T = M^d \bmod N^T.$

Thus, the result of the modular exponentiation C is not calculated in the residual class defined by modulus N , but in the residual class defined by the transformed modulus N^T , which is why the left-hand side of the above equation
 35 features C^T rather than C . The inventive concept is characterized by the fact that the use of the transformed modulus N^T greatly simplifies the calculation of the auxiliary reduction shift value s_i , which corresponds to

the iteration loop of Fig. 9 of the prior art reduction-lookahead method.

In a final step 44, a transformation of N^T back to N is performed by conducting an operation corresponding to the following equation:

$$C := C^T \bmod N.$$

10 The transformed result C^T , which is located in the residual class of the transformed modulus N^T , is preferably led back to the residual class of modulus N by a simple shift/subtraction reduction, so that C is the result of the modular exponentiation.

15 The transformation of modulus N into a transformed modulus N^T using the transformer T of step 10 is conducted such that the predetermined fraction of the transformed modulus, i.e. the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus in the preferred embodiment, has a more significant digit having a first predetermined value, which digit is followed by at least one less significant digit having a second predetermined value. Thus, the comparison of the intermediate result Z with the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus may be greatly simplified, specifically by searching for the top digit of Z , which also comprises the first predetermined value, wherein the difference between the more significant digit having a first predetermined value of the predetermined fraction of the transformed modulus, and the top digit of the intermediate result Z having the first predetermined value equals the difference S_i .

In summary, this may be represented as follows. N is preferably transformed into a transformed modulus N^T in the 32-bits CPU rather than in the cryptocoprocessor, so that

$$N^T := T \times N,$$

wherein T is a natural number.

If all numbers used are binary numbers, N^T takes on the
5 following form:

$$N^T = 1100\dots 0 \text{ XX}\dots\text{XX}$$

The $2/3$ -fold of the transformed modulus then takes on the
10 following value:

$$2/3 N^T = 100\dots 0 \text{ X'X'}\dots\text{X'X'}$$

It can be seen from N^T and $2/3 N^T$ that both have a first
15 portion of, for example, 16 bits, and, after that, a
portion of $L(N)$ bits X and/or X' . Only the top 16 bits of
the $2/3$ -fold of the transformed modulus N^T are utilized for
the so-called ZDN comparison, since this already leads to
an error probability which is better than about 2^{-10} . Thus,
20 not all 512, 1024 or 2048 bits of the $2/3$ -fold of the
transformed modulus must be utilized for a ZDN comparison,
but it is sufficient for this comparison to be performed
with the top 16 bits of the transformed modulus. Of course
it would also be possible to utilize even fewer bits of $2/3$
25 N^T for a comparison, but then the error probability would
gradually increase. However, since the errors are not
critical and only lead to a suboptimal behavior of the
reduction-lookahead method, this road may readily be taken.

30 Thus, the $2/3$ -fold of the transformed modulus N^T has a more
significant digit having the value 1, which is followed by
at least one less significant digit having a value of 0,
i.e. a second predetermined value. In the above-described
embodiment, the number of the less significant digits is
35 15. Of course, it will also be possible to use larger or
smaller blocks here, depending on the differences in sizes
between the intermediate result Z and the $2/3$ -fold of the
transformed modulus N^T that are to be expected and/or

processed. The amount of the intermediate result Z of the modular multiplication, i.e. of the result of the three-operands addition in block 950 of Fig. 8, takes on the following form:

5

$$|Z| = 00...01YY...Y$$

The auxiliary shift value s_i is calculated in accordance with the following equation:

10

$$2/3 N^T \times 2^{-s_i} < |Z| \leq 4/3 N^T \times 2^{-s_i}.$$

Due to the topology of the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T , the value s_i will always be the distance
15 between the most significant bit, having a 1 of the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T , and the most significant 1 of the amount of the intermediate result.

In accordance with the invention, this difference in terms
20 of digits and/or the value s_i may be determined in a trivial manner. No more iteration will be required.

In addition, no more ZDN register will be necessary for storing the 2/3-fold of the modulus, since, per definition,
25 at least the top, e.g. 16, bits of the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T will always have the same form. No more bit comparator will be required.

Due to the fact that no ZDN register and no ZDN comparator
30 are required, the entire calculating unit is to be accommodated on a smaller chip area.

In addition, the crypto-control part, i.e. the control logic for the ZDN comparison, is less complex, since the
35 time-consuming iteration loop of Fig. 9 need not be performed. Lastly, the calculation is performed faster, so that no more timing problems result for the entire

algorithm due to the calculation of the auxiliary shift value s_i .

The inventive transformation will be referred to in more
5 detail with reference to Figs. 5 to 7.

As has already been explained, a substantial part of the ZDN algorithm consists in that the following equation be met:

10

$$2/3 \cdot 2^{-s_i} N < |Z| \leq 4/3 \cdot 2^{s_i} N.$$

s_i is referred to as the auxiliary shift value and is that shift value which is required for shifting Z , in terms of
15 digits, to the same position as N . In the prior art, comparison operations of $|Z|$ with $2/3 N$ have been necessary for calculating s_i .

In accordance with the invention, the comparison with $2/3$
20 is simplified by transforming the modulus into the transformed modulus N^T , the transformed modulus N^T being larger than N , prior to performing any modular operation with N . Subsequently, all calculations modulo N^T are performed. However, since the result of the calculation
25 must be N in the residual class, a final reduction with N is performed according to the invention.

As is shown in Fig. 5, let N be an integer with a length of N bits. Since the modulus N is always a positive integer,
30 i.e. $\text{MSB} = 0$ in the two-complements representation, the sign bit always equals 0, and the second but most significant bit ($\text{MSB} - 1$) of modulus N always equals 1. For the ZDN comparison it is not necessary to compare all bits of the modulus with all bits of the intermediate result,
35 but it is sufficient to use a number of m bits for the ZDN comparison. The most significant m bits of modulus N define a first part of modulus N_T , whereas the remaining $N-m$ bits of the modulus define a second part N_R of the modulus. In a

preferred embodiment, m equals 16. Of course, higher or smaller values of m are also possible.

As is shown in Fig. 6, the transformation is performed such
 5 that the transformed modulus N^T is 16 bits longer than the original modulus of Fig. 2.

For the ZDN comparison it is sufficient to use the first 16 bits of N^T , only 12 bits being used for comparison in a
 10 preferred embodiment of the present invention, whereas the 4 least significant bits represent a buffer for potential carry-overs that may still be to come from less significant bits.

15 In this case, the probability that the comparison yields an incorrect result is smaller than 2^{-12} . If the comparison provides an incorrect result, only a suboptimal reduction shift value s_N is produced, but the result modulo N is still correct.

20

If the modulus is used in the two-complements representation, as in Fig. 5, modulus N may be broken down as follows:

25
$$N = 2^{n-m} N_T + N_R.$$

N is now transformed into N^T using the transformer T , T being a suitably selected integer, which is a must for reasons of congruency. N^T should take on the form shown in
 30 Fig. 6, i.e. the most significant bit (MSB) of N^T must equal 0, since N^T is to be a positive integer. As will be explained below, the second but most significant bit and the third but most significant bit of the transformed modulus must equal 1, whereas all other bits of the top
 35 portion of the transformed modulus N^T , which portion is referred to by reference numeral 33 and Fig. 6, should have a value of "0". Only in this case will it occur for the 2/3-fold of N^T that the top portion of the 2/3-fold of N^T ,

as is shown in Fig. 7, merely has one bit having a "1", whereas all other bits in this top portion 44 equal "0", so that the above-described trivial comparison for determining s_i may be performed.

5

Initially, however, reference will be made, with respect to Fig. 6, to the calculation of the transformed modulus N^T using the transformer T. The following definition shall apply:

10

$$\begin{aligned} N^T &= T N \\ &= T (2^{n-m} N_T + N_R) \end{aligned}$$

The following applies to transformer T:

15

$$T = \left| \frac{2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3}}{N_T} \right|$$

20 Thus, the following results for the transformed modulus N^T :

$$T = \left| \frac{2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3}}{N_T} \right| (2^{n-m} N_T + N_R)$$

25

$$N^T = (2^{n+p-m-2} + 2^{n+p-m-3}) \frac{N^T}{N^T} + (2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3}) \frac{N_R}{N_T}$$

30 If, for example, typical values are taken on for p and m, i.e. p = 32 bits and m = 16 bits, the following results for N^T :

$$35 \quad N^T = 2^{n+14} + 2^{n+13} + N_R \frac{2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3}}{N_T}$$

It shall be pointed out that the calculation of N^T is preferably performed in the host CPU rather than in the cryptocoprocessor. The host CPU includes a short-number calculating unit, which, however, is sufficient for
 5 calculating N^T . Since T must be an integer and since the calculations are performed within the cryptocoprocessor modulo N^T instead of modulo N , N^T being larger than N , it is only the first $p-m$ equaling 16 bits of N^T that are relevant for the trivial ZDN comparison for calculating the
 10 auxiliary shift value s_i . The other n bits of N^T may be any numbers, they are not relevant for calculating the auxiliary shift value s_i , i.e. for the comparison with Z . Evidently, however, all bits of the transformed modulus N^T will be required for the three-operands addition, which is
 15 now performed using the shifted transformed modulus rather than using the shifted modulus.

For the values selected for m and p , the transformer T is a 16-bits integer. Therefore, the division required for
 20 calculating T , and/or which is required for calculating N^T , need only be performed for the most significant 32 bits and may therefore be programmed in a fast and simple manner on the host CPU.

25 Fig. 7 shows the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T . Since the MSB-1 and the MSB-2 of N^T equal "1", as is shown in Fig. 6, and the following applies:

$$(11)_2 = (3)_{10} \text{ and } (2/3 \times 3)_2 = (2)_{10} = (10)_2,$$

30 a simple bit pattern results for the 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T , the length of 2/3-fold of the transformed modulus N^T equaling $n-m+p$.

35 Due to the special form of $2/3 N^T$, the comparison with $|Z|$ becomes very simple. It is known that the most significant one of $2/3 N^T$ at a position $n+p-m-2$ is at the beginning of a modular operation. A pointer for register Z then starts,

in a preferred embodiment, at the MSB of Z and searches for the first "1" of Z. If the MSB of Z equals 1, Z is a negative number, and the first zero of Z is searched for instead.

5

The difference in the bit position of the first one in register N and in register Z determines the auxiliary shift value s_i .

- 10 Since the result of the modulo operation must be N in the residual class, a final reduction modulo N is performed in accordance with the invention, i.e. a backtransformation (step 44 in Fig. 4) must be performed.

- 15 Compared to the prior art ZDN comparison, the transformation of N to N^T has the following advantages:

Instead of calculating $2/3$ N within the cryptocoprocessor, a simple transformation of N to N^T may be performed in the
20 host CPU.

No ZDN register and no comparator logic are required on the chip, which is why the chip area and the complexity of the coprocessor become smaller.

25

The use of the modulus transformation enables, in a simple manner, the calculation of the auxiliary shift value s_i without having to calculate the entire content of the bracket and/or the brackets in the defining equations for
30 the updated intermediate result Z' .

Thus, the individual steps for performing the inventive methods in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention with respect to the example $1 = 2$ are as
35 follows:

1. Performing a modulus transformation.

2. Calculating the multiplication shift values s_z^1 and s_z^2 as well as the multiplication-lookahead parameters a^1 and a^2 .
- 5 3. Calculating the auxiliary shift value s_i^1 and calculating the first reduction shift value $s_N^1 = s_z^1 - s_i^1$, and determining the first reduction-lookahead parameter b^1 .
- 10 4. Calculating the content of an auxiliary intermediate result corresponding to the above-mentioned bracket without taking into account the multiplicand, so as to calculate therefrom, using the modulus-register content shifted by s_N^1 , the second auxiliary shift value s_i^2 from which, then again, the reduction shift parameter s_N^2 may be calculated.
- 15 5. Performing the five-operands addition with the values for C, N and/or N^T and Z to which the shift values and the shift parameters have been applied.
- 20 6. Iterating the above-mentioned steps until all digits of the multiplier have been processed.
7. Performing a modulus backtransformation.
- 25 Lastly, it shall be pointed out that the inventive concept of the multi-operands adder for calculating several conventional ZDN steps at the same time may also be used for rings of the shape $f_2[x/N(x)]$ ($N(x)$ being a d-degree polynomial) wherein all quantities are then to be seen as
30 polynomials of a variable x, and wherein the coefficients of the individual powers of x are to be stored in the appropriate registers.

While this invention has been described in terms of several
35 preferred embodiments, there are alterations, permutations, and equivalents which fall within the scope of this invention. It should also be noted that there are many alternative ways of implementing the methods and

compositions of the present invention. It is therefore intended that the following appended claims be interpreted as including all such alterations, permutations, and equivalents as fall within the true spirit and scope of the present invention.

5